

ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically between 4 October 2019 and 18 October 2019.
Panel reference	2018SWC039 – Blacktown - SPP-18-00003 7 Luxford Road Mount Druitt

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:	
no known conflict of interest $oximes$	
an actual \square , potential \square or reasonably below:	perceived³ □ conflict of interest as detailed
JALL.	
·	18 Oct 2019
Signature	Date
Mary-Lynne Taylor	
Name	

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.





Chris Quilkey

Name

ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically between 4 October 2019 and 18 October 2019.
Panel reference	2018SWC039 – Blacktown - SPP-18-00003
Panel reference	7 Luxford Road Mount Druitt
In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:	
no known conflict of interest ⊠	
an actual ¹ \square potential ² \square or reasonably perceived ³ \square conflict of interest as detailed	

nature	Date	
	18 Oct 2019	
below:		

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.





ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically between 4 October 2019 and 18 October 2019.
Panel reference	2018SWC039 – Blacktown - SPP-18-00003
	7 Luxford Road Mount Druitt
In relation to this matter, I declare that I have: no known conflict of interest ⊠	
an actual ¹ \square , potential ² \square or reasonably perceived ³ \square conflict of interest as detailed below:	

Rulin	
0.01	18 Oct 2019
Signature	Date
Paul Mitchell	
Name	

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.





ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION	Papers circulated electronically between 4 October 2019 and 18 October 2019.
Panel reference	2018SWC039 – Blacktown - SPP-18-00003 7 Luxford Road Mount Druitt
In relation to this matte	r, I declare that I have:

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:	
no known conflict of interest $oximes$	
an actual \square , potential \square or reasonable below:	y perceived³ □ conflict of interest as detailed
200 dd	18 Oct 2019
Signature	Date
Stuart McDonald	
Name	

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.

